Asish B. Chakraborty, <u>Scott J. Berger</u>, Craig Dorschel, and John C. Gebler

Life Sciences Research and Development, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA

Overview

- The peptide map is a basic tool for the identification and characterization of proteins and their modifications.
- Developing an effective peptide map requires analytical technologies for characterizing all the components within a sample, and tracking them as the method is developed.
- The combination of accurate mass and MS/MS information can uniquely identify digested peptides, even from closely related containing deamidations, oxidations, and other peptides modifications.
- Although data directed MS/MS analysis (DDA) on a TOF based MS detector can provide effective qualitative information, multiple runs may be required, and peptide quantitative information is lost.
- We have developed an alternative approach (termed "Protein Expression") where alternating cycles of MS and multiplexed MS/MS analysis provide quantitative and qualitative information from a single run.
- This approach is illustrated using a yeast enolase peptide map.

Instrumentation

A prototype for the Waters Protein Expression System was produced by combining a CapLC[™] separations platform with a Micromass[™] Q-Tof Ultima mass detector. Accurate mass was obtained by using a lockspray enabled ESI source

300 ng (~6 pmol) Yeast Enolase tryptic digest in 4 µl Solvent A Sample:

Chemistry: 300 µ x 150 mm NanoEase[™] Atlantis[™] dC18 5µ RP (Waters)

HPLC Parameters:

Solvent A:	0.1% Formic acid in 1% Acetonitrile			
Solvent B:	nt B: 0.1% Formic acid in 80% Acetonitrile			
Solvent C:	(Lockspray solution) 200 fmol/µl Glu Fibrinogen b peptide and			
	0.1% Formic Acid in 25% Acetonitrile			
Gradient:	0 -100 min 6 %B to 40 %B			
	20 min 99 %B column wash			
	30 min column regeneration at 6 %B			
Flow rate:	5 μl/min			

MS parameters:

Operated in the positive ion V-mode. Capillary voltage: 3.5 kV Source Temperature: 80 °C Cone Voltage: 50 V Lockspray channel was sampled at 30 sec intervals

MS Scan: Collision cell maintained at 10 V MS^E Scan: Collision cell stepped between 27 V and 32 V during scan

DDA vs. Protein Expression Analysis

Data Directed Analysis (DDA)



Figure 2: Data Directed LC/MS/(MS) Analysis (DDA). (DDA) employs an MS survey scan to identify abundant components, and series of individual MS/MS scans on several most abundant components. The survey/analysis cycle is repeated throughout the run.

Protein Expression Analysis



Figure 3: Protein Expression Analysis. Protein Expression analysis utilizes a cycle where there is no bias or selection of precursor ions for MS or MS/MS analysis. During MS acquisition the collision cell is a low potential, and in the MS^E cycle the collision cell is maintained at elevated potential. Data from the MS cycle are analyzed to determine accurate mass (for qualitative component identification) and signal intensities (for quantitative comparisons between analyses). The MS^E spectra are complex, and represent the MS/MS of all components identified during the MS cycle.



Figure 4: LC/MS/MS^E Enclase peptide maps. As all components are analyzed in both the MS and MS^E modes, the TIC patterns for the intact peptides (LEFT), and MS^E fragments (RIGHT) are comparable. The analysis of three sequential runs demonstrates that the same pattern and intensities of peptides and their fragment ions are observed.





extracted ion chromatograms (XIC) for fragments of a peptide in the MS^E mode align with that of the originating intact peptide in the MS

reveals two

In this example, the two peptides produce not only differing peak apex times, but also a characteristic difference in peak width and



Peak information for every peptide and fragment ion is used to produce reconstructed MS/MS spectra for the individual components that can be searched against a protein sequence database.

Figure 5: Producing individual MS/MS spectra for coeluting components. The example case shown above demonstrates how fragment ions in MS^E spectra are associated with the precursor data from MS scans.

Peptide Map Coverage of Enolase

	Mass	START AA	ΕΝΠ ΔΔ	#ΔΔ
	146 44	100	100	4
	140.11	199	199	1
	140.11	337	337	1
	174.11	200	200	1
	174.11	200	200	1
	222.14	529	525	2
	233.14	54 102	- 55 - 104	2
	233.14	103	104	2
	233.14	139	140	2
	233.14	270	2/1	2
Jek	240.17	241	242	2
	330.23	200	<u>237</u> 408	3
	380.23	400	400	2
	200.19	403	405	2
	402.24	403	405	3
	403.24	50	4 52	4
	433.10	105	102	4
SVER	477 97	28	31	-+
/YAR	507.28	<u>20</u> 5	21	4
WMGK	520.25	56	59	4
IGOIK	545 22	302	306	-+
ΔΔΔΕΚ	559 3	120	125	8
	571 31	397	402	6
	654.31	234	240	7
	658.36	79	240	6
JPNSDK	673.3	264	269	6
	722 44	60	66	7
SVYDSR	725.33	9	14	6
	732.42	126	131	6
ΔΤΔΙΕΚ	744 44	330	336	7
NOLLR	755.47	409	414	6
	782.43	132	138	7
(DI DEK	799.38	258	263	6
	806.43	178	184	7
	813.5	338	345	8
GSEVYHNLK	1158.6	185	194	10
VNDVIAPAFVK	1285 7	67	78	12
INDIGTLSESIK	1287.7	346	357	12
GLDCASSEFFK	1315.61	243	254	12
GANAILGVSLAASR	1411 81	105	119	15
GNPTVEVELTTEK	1415.71	15	27	13
AVDDFLISLDGTANK	1577 79	88	102	15
	1754.94	312	328	17
AQDSFAAGWGVMVSHR	1788.84	358	374	17
SGETEDTFIADLVVGLR	1820 92	375	391	17
SIVPSGASTGVHEALEMR	1839.92	32	49	18
WLTGPQLADLYHSLMK	1871.96	272	287	16
EEELGDNAVFAGENFHHGDK	2327.05	415	435	21
(PIVSIEDPFAEDDWEAWSHFFK	2827 28	289	311	23
	3256 61	201	233	33
SPYVLPVPFLNVLNGGSHAGGAI AI OFFMIAPTGAK	3736.96	141	177	37
	0,00.00	141		1
Pentides Identified with 1 Missed Cleavage				1
FFFI GDNAVFAGENEHHGDKI	2440 13	415	436	22
RYGASAGNVGDEGGVAPNIQTAEEALDLIVDAIK	3412.71	200	233	34
				<u> </u>

AVSKVYARSVYDSRGNPTVEVELTTEKGVFRSIVPSGASTGVHEALEMRI **GDKSKWMGKGVLHAVKNVNDVIAPAFVKANIDVKDQKAVDDFLISLDGTA** NKSKLGANAILGVSLAASRAAAAEKNVPLYKHLADLSKSKTSPYVLPVPF LNVLNGGSHAGGALALQEFMIAPTGAKTFAEALRIGSEVYHNLKSLTKKR YGASAGNVGDEGGVAPNIQTAEEALDLIVDAIKAAGHDGKVKIGLDCASS EFFKDGKYDLDFKNPNSDKSKWLTGPQLADLYHSLMKRYPIVSIEDPFAE DDWEAWSHFFKTAGIQIVADDLTVTNPKRIATAIEKKAADALLLKVNQIG TLSESIKAAQDSFAAGWGVMVSHRSGETEDTFIADLVVGLRTGQIKTGAP ARSERLAKLNQLLRIEEELGDNAVFAGENFHHGDKL

Figure 6: Yeast enclase peptide map coverage map. This map was produced from a single expression analysis run, and depicts peptides automatically identified by the Protein Expression informatics using accurate mass and MS^E peptide sequence information.

Black=	AA from observed from trypt
Blue=	AA from tryptic peptides that
Green=	AA from a tryptic peptide wit

Overall RMS (average) mass error of identified peptides was 7.5 (6.2) ppm. Coverage: Overall (89% of Sequence), Peptides w/ 5+ AA (98.5%)

tic peptides

were not automatically identified th a single missed cleavage.





Conclusions

- Peptide mapping data, with high MS/MS sequence coverage, was obtained using a single Protein Expression analysis run.
- Processing of peptide map data from Protein Expression analysis can be accomplished using fully automated informatics tools.
- Peptide map data in both the MS and MS^E (multiplexed MS/MS) scans were shown to be reproducible from run to run.
- Both qualitative (components within a run) and quantitative (component intensities between runs) peptide map analysis can be accomplished using this approach.
- It was demonstrated that clear and concise MS/MS data could be obtained from peptides with overlapping elution profiles.
- This approach should prove valuable for developing better peptide mapping methods.