PROGENESIS QI FOR PROTEOMICS を用いた ラベル化およびラベルフリープロテオミクスデータの解析 ANALYSIS OF LABELED AND NON-LABELED PROTEOMIC DATA USING PROGENESIS

Vaters THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.

○寺崎 真樹 ¹, Lee A Gethings², Gushinder Atwal², Martin Palmer², Robert Tonge², Jackson Pope³, Andrew Collins⁴; Andrew R Jones⁴, James I Langridge² and Johannes PC Vissers² ¹日本ウォーターズ株式会社, ¹ Waters Corporation, ² Nonlinear Dynamics, ³ Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool

INTRODUCTION

LC-MSは、日常的に生物学的プロセスの特性解析や疾 患状態を理解するために、また、複雑なプロテオームの定 性的および定量的分析のために利用されます。

巨大で複雑なデータヤットを扱うプロテオミクス分析は解析 と結果の解釈に多大な時間がかかります。

その結果、効率的で正確なデータ圧縮プログラム、メニュー ガイド付きのワークフローによる直感的なソフトウェアインタ フェース、サンプル数に制限のない柔軟な実験デザイン、正 確さと精度が改善した一貫したピーク検出、信頼できる統 計解析のための欠損値のない完全なデータ、分画したサン プルを分析する機能を含む改善されたデータ解析システム が要求されます。

本発表では安定同位体によるラベル化およびラベルフ リープロテオミクスデータセットの同定と定量のための新 規の情報プラットホームであるProgenesis QI for proteomics の機能を報告します。

METHODS

Samples

- Cytosolic Escherichia coli (E.coli) tryptic protein digest spiked with bovine serum albumin (BSA), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), enolase and glycogen phosphorylase B digest standards.
- Tryptic digest dimethyl labeled HL60 human B cells
- UPS1 standard (25, 2.5 and 0.125 fmol) spiked into Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast)

LC-MS conditions

All LC-MS experiments were conducted using a 90 min gradient from 5 to 40% acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid) at 300 nL/min using a nanoACQUITY system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) and a HSS 1.7 µm C18 reversed phase 75 µm x 15 cm nanoscale LC column. The column outlet was directly interfaced to a hybrid IMS-oaToF Synapt G2-S*i* mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation), used in either LC-IM-DIA-MS (HDMS^E) or DDA mode of operation.

Bioinformatics

DIA and DDA LC-MS data were analyzed with Progenesis OI for Proteomics (Nonlinear Dynamics) Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). The quantitative analysis of isotopically labeled data was performed with Progenesis OI for Proteomics and ProteoLabels (precommercial software (University of Liverpool, UK).



Figure 1. Progenesis QI for proteomics workflow.

Searches were conducted with the Progenesis QI for Proteomics v2 embedded Proteinl vnx Global SERVER v3.0.2 (Waters Corporation) algorithms or Mascot v2.5 (Matrix Science, London, UK). Additional data analysis and visualization was conducted with Tibco Spotfire v9.1 (Palo Alto, CA).

RESULTS

Peak detection

As illustrated in Figure 1, peak detection is conducted first [1]. To assess peak detection precision, the separate data and detected peaks/features from six technical LC-IM-DIA-MS replicates of an E.coli digest were compared.

On average, 28,793 ± 458 features were detected. The majority of the data were identified in all samples using match tolerances of $m/z \pm 5$ ppm, t_r ± 0.5 min, and $t_d \pm 5\%$ units, as shown in the top pane of Figure 2, considering the top 95% raw abundance percentile of the complete data set.

To improve detection across samples, alignment and co-detection of peaks was conducted and an aggregate constructed. The detection boundaries of the latter are passed back to individual samples, affording a complete data matrix and better multiv ariate statistics. This principle is shown in the middle pane of Figure 2.

Applying this principle and the same match criteria as used for the one-to-one replicate comparisons, the vast majority of the detected features in the individual runs could be identified in the aggregate, shown in the bottom pane of Figure 2. An average increase of 98.3% in co-detected features was observed.





in the aggregate bottom) for six technical LC-IM-DIA-MS replicates of E.coli and co-detection principle (middle)

Precision and accuracy label-free LC-IM-DIA-MS

Three replicates of each E.coli sample, differentially spiked with BSA, ADH, englase and glycogen phosphorylase B were analyzed by mobility assisted data independent LC-MS. Part of the quantitative analysis of the data is shown in Figure 3, including a results summary for the protein spikes using ADH as the internal standard. All spikes were confidently quantified with expected ratios as specified by the . manufacturer



Figure 3. Workflow and quantitative results of a labelfree LC-IM-DIA-MS experiment.

Quantitative dimethyl labeled LC-IM-DIA-MS data analysis

Paired peptides, such as in SILAC or dimethyl labeled quantification experiments, are expected to have similar retention and drift times. The results in Figure 4 illustrate the detection of a dimethyl labeled peptide pair, showing a mass spectrum detail (a), a section of the chromatographic separation (b) and the ion mobility separation (c) for a human cell line sample. Peptide and protein quantification was conducted with ProteoLabels of which an excerpt is shown in Figure 5. As expected for dimethyl labeled peptides, the chromatographic apices are off-set but cross sections/drift are similar.



Figure 4. Detection (a,b) and IM separation (c) of a dimethylated peptide pair



Figure 5. LC-IM-DIA-MS data analysis of dimethyl labeled peptides and proteins following co-detection and peptide identification (top left), pair identification (top right) and quantitation visualization (bottom).

DDA based label-free quantitation

Progenesis QI for proteomics also affords the labelfree quantitation of DDA data. Shown in Figure 6 are the detection and results for the label free quantification of one of the UPS1 standards that was differentially spiked in a tryptic digest of yeast and analyzed by DDA, showing the isotopic clusters and peptide and protein distribution profiles.



Figure 6. Quantitative label-free analysis DDA data of UPS1 standard Gamma-synuclein (SYUG_HUMAN), showing feature detection (a), peptide quantitation (c) and protein quantitation (c) across three samples.

CONCLUSION

- Progenesis QI for proteomics を DDA ある いは **DIA モードでのラベル化およびラベルフリーでの** データ取得を含む、数多くの「ボトムアップ」プロテオミクス アプリケーションに適応できた
- さらに一貫性のあるピーク検出およびひとかたまりのデー タ (aggregate) の形成が、改善された差異解析お よび統計分析を可能にした
- DIA と DDA 定量の正確さと精度が「一括検出(codetection)」に基づいたラベルフリー定量的アプロー チを使用することで大幅に改善された

References

Cappadona et al. Wavelet-based method for noise characterization and rejection in high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2008 Jul 1;80(13):4960-8



