# [APPLICATION NOTE]

# REDUCING ACETONITRILE USAGE FOR THE HPLC ANALYSIS OF ALDEHYDE AND KETONE POLLUTANTS

Kenneth J. Fountain, Jane Xu, and Diane M. Diehl

## INTRODUCTION

Analyzing for the presence of low molecular weight aldehydes and ketones (carbonyl compounds), especially in ambient air, has significant economic and social impact. In part, this is due to their effects on humans, especially irritation of the mucous membranes, eyes, upper respiratory tract, and skin. Aldehydes can also cause injury to plants. Formaldehyde is the most common of these compounds, due to its role in the formation of photochemical ozone<sup>1</sup>. Finally, many of the carbonyl compounds are primary and/or secondary air pollutants.

Carbonyl compounds can be formed in several ways including; (i) natural occurrence, (ii) through production of chemicals, rubber, paper, etc., (iii) as secondary pollutants formed in the atmosphere, and (iv) through mobile combustion sources. Therefore, this is a major application area for the automotive industry, especially in California where emission standards are the most stringent<sup>2</sup>. Failure to meet these standards translates to increased cost, poor output efficiency, and decreased productivity.

Perhaps the most common method for analyzing these pollutants by HPLC is in their derivatized form. Typically, a known volume of sample (e.g., ambient air) is drawn through a cartridge containing acidified DNPH (2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine)<sup>3</sup>. Common air samplers such as Waters Sep-Pak<sup>®</sup> DNPH-Silica and XPoSure<sup>™</sup> cartridges trap aldehydes and ketones and immediately react them with DNPH to form stable hydrazone derivatives<sup>4,5</sup>. The cartridges are then washed with 100% acetonitrile (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to elute all of the derivatized carbonyl compounds for subsequent HPLC analysis. The approved methods for analyzing these compounds involve mobile phases that contain a large amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN. While it provides the best separation, CH<sub>3</sub>CN is becoming a scarce and costly commodity, thus alternative methods are desired.

This application note describes an alternative HPLC method for analysis of 13 carbonyl compounds. The method achieves the desired detection limits outlined in the California EPA method 430, as well as those specified in the US EPA methods (TO-11A and 8315A). Only 10%  $CH_3CN$  is used in the elution solvent, resulting in an 86 to 96% reduction in the amount of  $CH_3CN$  used when compared to the methods mentioned above. This translates to more than a 20-fold reduction in solvent cost per HPLC run, which is equivalent to thousands of dollars in solvent savings over time.

#### EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

SunFire<sup>™</sup>  $C_{18}$  columns are high-purity-based silica columns that provide unique selectivity for the separation of DNPH-derivatized aldehydes and ketones. Due to their state-of-the-art bonding and end-capping processes, SunFire  $C_{18}$  columns experience little secondary interactions with analytes due to low residual silanol activity. Their high loadability and best-in-class peak shape are ideal for applications where lower detection limits are required.

#### CLICK ON PART NUMBERS FOR MORE INFORMATION

System:	Waters Alliance® 2695 Separations Module equipped with a 2998 PDA detector	
Data System:	Empower™ 2 software (Build 2154)	
Column:	SunFire C <sub>18</sub> , 4.6 x 250 mm, 5 μm ( <u>P/N 186002560)</u>	
Mobile Phase A:	10/90 CH <sub>3</sub> 0H/H <sub>2</sub> 0	
Mobile Phase B:	60/30/10 CH <sub>3</sub> OH/THF/CH <sub>3</sub> CN	
Flow-rate:	1.5 mL/min	
Gradient:	56-80% B in 15 min, to 100% B	
	in 1 min, hold for 2 min, reset (22	
	min total run time)	
Column Temperature:	40 °C	
Injection Volume:	20 µL	
Detection:	365 nm, 2 Hz sampling rate, normal	
	filter time constant	

#### Preparation of Standards

Aldehyde and ketone standards derivatized with DNPH were supplied from the manufacturer at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/mL (100 ppm) in 100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Subsequent mixtures of all 13 compounds were prepared from these stock solutions down to the 10 ppb level in 100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Chromatograms demonstrating the separation of all 13 carbonyl compounds are shown in Figure 1. A solvent blank is also shown for comparison. Adequate separation of all 13 peaks is achieved in under 15 minutes with a total run time of 22 minutes. This run time is very similar to that in the isocratic method specified in CA EPA method 430, and 3x faster than that in the gradient method specified in US EPA methods TO-11A and 8315A. Based on the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios for the 50 ppb standard shown in Figure 1, calculated limits of quantitation (LOQ, S/N = 10) for the method are between 10 and 20 ppb, with limits of detection (LOD, S/N = 3) between 2 and 5 ppb. These values are well below the lowest calibration standard (100 ppb) specified in CA EPA method 430.



Figure 1. UV chromatograms for the separation of 13 DNPH-derivatized aldehydes and ketones. Peak elution order: (1) formaldehyde, (2) acetaldehyde, (3) acetone, (4) acrolein, (5) propanal, (6) crotonaldehyde, (7) MEK, (8) methacrolein, (9) butanal, (10) benzaldehyde, (11) pentanal, (12) m-tolualdehyde, (13) hexanal.

Table 1 shows a comparison of the method developed on the SunFire  $C_{18}$  column with the two US EPA methods and the CA EPA method in regard to analysis time and solvent cost.

Method	Total run time (min)	CH₃CN usage per run (mL)	Cost of CH <sub>3</sub> CN per run (USD)
SunFire $C_{18}$	22	2.1	\$0.21
CA EPA Method 430	25	15	\$1.50
US EPA Methods TO-11A and 8315A	71	47	\$4.70

Table 1. Benefits of SunFire  $C_{18}$  method for carbonyl compound analysis in regard to run time, acetonitrile usage, and cost. The cost calculation assumes an acetonitrile cost of \$100 per liter. The values calculated in the table include the time needed for column washing and equilibration.

The cost benefits of using the newly developed SunFire  $C_{18}$  method are readily apparent.. This method uses approximately 7-fold less  $CH_3CN$  than the CA EPA method (same run time) and reduces the  $CH_3CN$  consumption in the US EPA methods by greater than 20-fold. In addition, the SunFire  $C_{18}$  method's run time is 3x faster than that of the US EPA methods.

The SunFire C<sub>18</sub> method costs between 86% and 96% less per rumin terms of CH<sub>3</sub>CN usage—than the other methods listed in Table 1. For an HPLC system that is used 8 hours per day, 5 days per week, 50 weeks per year, this translates to a \$6,800 savings in CH<sub>3</sub>CN cost alone for the SunFire C<sub>18</sub> method.

## CONCLUSIONS

An HPLC method was developed on a SunFire  $C_{18}$  column in order to minimize the use of  $CH_3CN$  for the analysis of aldehyde and ketone pollutants. The run time is similar to that specified in the CA EPA method 430 but uses up to 22-fold less  $CH_3CN$  than current methods. This translates into significant solvent cost reductions (86 to 96%). The lower limit of quantitation is well below the limits needed for accurate quantitation in ambient air. The method developed here can be used in conjunction with Waters Sep-Pak DNPH-Silica and XPoSure cartridges for measuring aldehyde and ketone pollutants in atmospheric and ambient air samples, including automobile emissions.

# REFERENCES

- Winberry Jr., W.T., Tejada, S., Lonneman, B., Kleindienst, T., "Determination of Formaldehyde in Ambient Air Using Adsorbent Cartridge Followed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) [Active Sampling Methodology]," in Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/625/R-96/010b, Cincinnati, OH, January 1999.
- California EPA Air Resources Board, "Determination of Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde in Emissions from Stationary Sources," Method 430, September 1989.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Determination of Carbonyl Compounds by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)," Method 8315A-1, December 1996.
- Committee on Aldehydes, Board of Toxicology and Environmental Hazards, National Research Council, Formaldehyde and Other Aldehydes; National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1981.
- Tejada, S.B., "Evaluation of Silica Gel Cartridges Coated In Situ With Acidified 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine for Sampling Aldehydes with Ketones in Air", Intern. J. Environ. Chem. 1986, 26: 167–185.

Austria and European Export (Central South Eastern Europe, CIS and Middle East) 43 1 877 18 07, Australia 61 2 9933 1777, Belgium 32 2 726 1000, Brazil 55 11 4134 3788, Canada 1 800 252 4752 x2205, China 86 21 6879 5888, CIS/Russia +497 727 4490/290 9737, Czech Republic 420 2 617 1 1384, Denmark 45 46 59 8080, Finland 358 9 5659 6288, France 33 1 30 48 72 00, Germany 49 6196 400600, Hong Kong 852 29 64 1800, Hungary 36 1 350 5086, India and India Subcontinent 91 80 2837 1900, Ireland 353 1 448 1500, Italy 39 02 265 0983, Japan 81 3 3471 7191, Korea 82 2 6300 4800, Mexico 52 55 5524 7636, The Netherlands 31 76 670 700, Norway 47 6 384 60 50, Poland 48 22 833 4400, Puerto Rico 1 787 747 8445, Singapore 65 6273 7997, Spain 34 93 600 9300, Sweden 46 8 555 11 500, Switzerland 15 6 676 70 00, Taiwan 886 2 2543 1898, United Kingdom 44 208 238 6100, All other countries: Waters Corporation U.S.A. 1 508 478 2000/1 800 252 4752

# Waters

#### THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.™



© 2009 Waters Corporation. Waters, The Science of What's Possible, SunFire, Sep-Pak, XPoSure, Empower and Alliance are trademarks of Waters Corporation. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

April 2009 720003012EN KK-PDF

Waters Corporation 34 Maple Street Milford, MA 01757 U.S.A. T: 1 508 478 2000 F: 1 508 872 1990 www.waters.com