

APPLICATION OF THE UPLC AMINO ACID ANALYSIS SOLUTION TO THE ANALYSIS OF ANIMAL FEED HYDROLYSATES

Hillary B. Hewitson, Thomas E. Wheat, Diane M. Diehl

INTRODUCTION

There are many factors that contribute to the health and growth of agricultural animals. Monitoring those factors is an important part to optimizing that growth, and therefore increasing profitability. Determination of the total amount of amino acids in the feeds provides critical information about the nutritional content. Based on this information, feeds can then be blended in an economical way while still assuring that the nutritional requirements of the animals are met.

The analysis of amino acids in animal feed samples is difficult due to the complex nature of the samples. The presence of a high concentration of non-protein constituents, including minerals, can interfere with the derivatization of amino acids and their subsequent analyses. These interferences can result in misidentification of the amino acids due to changes in retention over a series of analyses. Derivatization and chromatographic errors stemming from interferences will also result in incorrect quantitation of the amino acids. Unreliable amino acid analysis can lead to the costly and time consuming need to reanalyze samples. In the worst case, production may become uneconomical, and the animals may even become endangered.

Waters has developed the UPLC® Amino Acid Analysis Solution as a turnkey solution for the analysis of amino acids in a variety of applications. By combining the well-established pre-column derivatization chemistry of AccQ•Tag™ (6-aminoquinolyl-N-hydroxysuccinimidyl carbamate) with the sensitivity and resolution of UltraPerformance LC® (UPLC), this method consistently gives the right answer, even for these complex feed samples. Because the eluents, derivatization chemistry, and columns are application-tested, there is no need for method adjustments. A CD containing software projects for the management software (Empower™) chromatography data system is supplied as part of the system. Results are quickly generated and reported.



Figure 1. Waters UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution.

The reliability of the application solution was tested in a collaborative study across multiple laboratories (the inter-laboratory results will be reported elsewhere). Four animal feed hydrolysates, two soybean samples and two complex animal feed blends, were analyzed. The robustness and reproducibility of the method were evaluated in multiple experiments that included the typical sources of variability.

The complete sample set was analyzed on five separate days. Each daily experiment included fresh eluent and sample preparations, as well as five replicate derivatizations, injected in triplicate for each sample. A total of 75 data points were generated for each sample type. The ruggedness of the method was further tested by the inclusion of multiple columns throughout the five days of analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL

CLICK ON PART NUMBERS FOR MORE INFORMATION

Samples

Swine diet, poultry diet, whole soybean, and soybean meal samples were acid-hydrolyzed in an independent laboratory as part of a collaborative study. The samples were supplied at an estimated concentration of 1.0 mg/mL in 0.1 M HCl and sealed under argon in ampoules. Samples were stored at -80 °C until analysis. The standard was NIST 2389 Amino Acids in 0.1 mol/L HCl Reference Material, and it was diluted to 5, 100, and 250 pmol/μL.

Sample Derivatization

The samples were diluted 1:16 with 0.1 M HCl prior to derivatization. The standard derivatization protocol was modified to include neutralization of excess acid with 0.1 M NaOH. The derivatization reagent reacts with both primary and secondary amines. The samples were derivatized in batches, and are stable at room temperature for up to one week when tightly capped. Conditions for pre-column derivatization and analysis are described in detail in the Waters UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution System Guide (P/N 71500129702). These derivatization conditions were modified to include additional base.

1. 60 μL AccQ•Tag Ultra Borate Buffer
2. 10 μL diluted sample
3. 10 μL 0.1 N NaOH
4. 20 μL reconstituted AccQ•Tag Ultra Reagent

ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS

The Waters UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Application Solution is provided with a CD that contains all the Empower methods necessary for acquisition and processing of the samples, as well as reporting of the results. Details of the methods can be found in the Waters UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution System Guide.

Chromatographic Conditions

LC System:	Waters ACQUITY UPLC® System
Column:	AccQ•Tag Ultra, 2.1 x 100 mm, 1.7 μm (two columns were alternated) Part Number: 186003837
Column Temp:	55 °C
Sample Temp:	20 °C
Flow Rate:	700 μL/min.
Mobile Phase A:	1:20 Dilution of AccQ•Tag Ultra Eluent A with MilliQ® water (prepared fresh daily) Part Number: 186003838
Mobile Phase B:	AccQ•Tag Ultra Eluent B Part Number: 186003839
Weak Needle Wash:	95:5 Water:Acetonitrile
Strong Needle Wash:	5:95 Water:Acetonitrile
Gradient:	AccQ•Tag Ultra Hydrolysate Method (provided in the UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution)
Total run time:	9.5 min
Injection volume:	1 μL, Partial Loop with Needle Overfill
Detection:	UV (TUV), 260nm

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The contributions of all method factors to the variability of retention time of the NIST standard is summarized in Table 1. The reported value is the mean of fifteen injections, three injections on each of five days. The preparation of fresh mobile phases and the use of different columns throughout the five days did not contribute any instability to the retention time. The most variable amino acid, Histidine, shows a range of four seconds between the shortest and longest retention times.

Figure 2 shows the separation of each of the different animal feed samples. The increased complexity of the diet samples does not result in any additional extraneous peaks or shifts in retention time that would result in the incorrect identification or quantitation of the amino acids.

The retention times of the amino acids in the four different feed samples are shown in Table 2. The reported retention time is the mean for the fifteen analyses of that sample on a single day. The amino acids have the same retention time, independent of sample type. The greatest deviation, again for Histidine, is approximately two seconds.

Amino Acid	Mean	Std Dev	% RSD
His	2.536	0.026	1.03
Ser	3.377	0.014	0.43
Arg	3.565	0.021	0.60
Gly	3.680	0.015	0.39
Asp	3.999	0.010	0.24
Glu	4.465	0.010	0.23
Thr	4.830	0.012	0.26
Ala	5.200	0.014	0.27
Pro	5.765	0.017	0.30
Cys	6.604	0.012	0.18
Lys	6.668	0.015	0.23
Tyr	6.807	0.012	0.17
Met	6.954	0.014	0.21
Val	7.074	0.015	0.22
Ile	7.796	0.018	0.23
Leu	7.878	0.019	0.24
Phe	7.995	0.018	0.23

Table 1. Summary of retention times, in minutes, for the hydrolysate standard throughout the five days of analyses. The standard deviations obtained assures no misidentification of amino acids.




Figure 2. Representative chromatogram for each of the animal feed hydrolysates, 6 ng on column, using the UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution.

Amino Acid	Poultry Diet	Swine Diet	Whole Soybean	Soybean Meal	Mean	Std. Dev.
His	2.513	2.504	2.526	2.534	2.521	0.016
Ser	3.363	3.358	3.370	3.373	3.367	0.008
Arg	3.546	3.544	3.553	3.555	3.551	0.006
Gly	3.665	3.661	3.671	3.673	3.668	0.006
Asp	3.990	3.987	3.995	3.997	3.993	0.005
Glu	4.457	4.455	4.461	4.462	4.459	0.004
Thr	4.820	4.819	4.823	4.823	4.822	0.002
Ala	5.189	5.187	5.190	5.191	5.189	0.002
Pro	5.752	5.751	5.750	5.751	5.751	0.001
Cys	6.599	6.601	6.602	6.603	6.602	0.001
Lys	6.661	6.663	6.662	6.663	6.663	0.001
Tyr	6.799	6.800	6.801	6.801	6.801	0.001
Met	6.944	6.945	6.945	6.945	6.945	0.000
Val	7.064	7.065	7.064	7.066	7.065	0.001
Ile	7.787	7.788	7.787	7.787	7.787	0.001
Leu	7.868	7.869	7.868	7.869	7.869	0.001
Phe	7.985	7.985	7.985	7.986	7.985	0.001

Table 2. Retention time summary, in minutes, for the different sample types from one day of analyses. Each reported value represents the mean value of fifteen injections.

[APPLICATION NOTE]

Poultry Diet							
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Average	% RSD
His	2.08	2.10	2.13	2.08	2.12	2.10	1.09
Ser	6.12	6.11	6.26	6.11	6.11	6.14	1.08
Arg	4.89	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.94	4.91	0.36
Gly	9.14	9.15	9.16	9.16	9.16	9.15	0.09
Asp	9.84	9.85	9.81	9.87	9.79	9.83	0.33
Glu	16.21	16.21	16.21	16.26	16.20	16.22	0.15
Thr	4.13	4.13	4.14	4.13	4.13	4.13	0.11
Ala	8.51	8.49	8.48	8.50	8.45	8.49	0.29
Pro	7.68	7.69	7.68	7.70	7.70	7.69	0.16
Cys	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.35	1.47
Lys	4.49	4.55	4.64	4.58	4.58	4.57	1.16
Tyr	2.37	2.35	2.34	2.32	2.35	2.35	0.80
Met	2.08	2.08	2.00	2.06	2.07	2.06	1.66
Val	5.45	5.43	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.43	0.24
Ile	3.96	3.95	3.94	3.94	3.96	3.95	0.20
Leu	9.22	9.21	9.14	9.17	9.21	9.19	0.36
Phe	3.81	3.80	3.75	3.76	3.79	3.78	0.66

Whole Soybean							
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Average	% RSD
His	1.96	1.99	2.03	1.95	2.02	1.99	1.84
Ser	6.61	6.59	6.61	6.62	6.61	6.61	0.16
Arg	5.69	5.83	5.84	5.76	5.87	5.80	1.22
Gly	7.92	7.75	7.78	7.87	7.78	7.82	0.91
Asp	12.09	12.12	12.01	12.12	12.04	12.08	0.39
Glu	17.63	17.59	17.55	17.64	17.53	17.59	0.28
Thr	4.27	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	0.19
Ala	6.44	6.39	6.38	6.41	6.37	6.40	0.44
Pro	6.06	6.03	6.05	6.06	6.06	6.05	0.24
Cys	0.37	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	1.99
Lys	5.32	5.57	5.62	5.47	5.56	5.51	2.17
Tyr	2.67	2.65	2.66	2.63	2.66	2.66	0.54
Met	1.15	1.14	1.09	1.15	1.13	1.13	2.04
Val	5.47	5.45	5.47	5.47	5.44	5.46	0.30
Ile	4.59	4.57	4.58	4.56	4.57	4.57	0.21
Leu	8.09	8.05	8.03	8.05	8.05	8.05	0.24
Phe	4.04	4.03	4.04	4.00	4.05	4.03	0.46

Swine Diet							
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Average	% RSD
His	2.15	2.19	2.22	2.21	2.23	2.20	1.47
Ser	6.20	6.19	6.20	6.19	6.20	6.20	0.08
Arg	4.54	4.63	4.61	4.63	4.66	4.62	0.98
Gly	7.66	7.55	7.56	7.60	7.58	7.59	0.56
Asp	9.46	9.50	9.48	9.52	9.44	9.48	0.32
Glu	16.87	16.86	16.86	16.85	16.81	16.85	0.15
Thr	4.16	4.16	4.15	4.16	4.15	4.16	0.06
Ala	8.82	8.77	8.77	8.79	8.75	8.78	0.33
Pro	8.08	8.07	8.11	8.10	8.11	8.09	0.23
Cys	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.35	2.25
Lys	4.41	4.56	4.59	4.56	4.55	4.53	1.62
Tyr	2.35	2.34	2.35	2.32	2.36	2.34	0.64
Met	1.61	1.58	1.52	1.53	1.56	1.56	2.49
Val	5.50	5.49	5.50	5.50	5.48	5.49	0.16
Ile	4.04	4.00	4.00	3.99	4.00	4.00	0.48
Leu	10.19	10.16	10.14	10.13	10.17	10.16	0.23
Phe	3.95	3.95	3.93	3.93	3.95	3.94	0.32

Soybean Meal							
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Average	% RSD
His	2.04	2.06	2.06	2.05	2.09	2.06	0.91
Ser	6.34	6.36	6.34	6.34	6.35	6.35	0.10
Arg	5.64	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.68	5.64	0.36
Gly	7.97	7.83	7.98	7.85	7.86	7.90	0.90
Asp	11.89	11.96	11.90	11.98	11.88	11.92	0.36
Glu	16.97	16.99	17.01	17.03	16.95	16.99	0.19
Thr	4.38	4.37	4.36	4.36	4.38	4.37	0.18
Ala	6.78	6.75	6.73	6.77	6.74	6.76	0.33
Pro	6.02	6.01	6.02	6.02	6.03	6.02	0.11
Cys	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	1.54
Lys	5.55	5.64	5.66	5.64	5.64	5.63	0.76
Tyr	2.71	2.70	2.69	2.66	2.69	2.69	0.61
Met	1.22	1.28	1.22	1.26	1.26	1.25	1.86
Val	5.59	5.59	5.60	5.60	5.59	5.59	0.13
Ile	4.61	4.61	4.61	4.60	4.62	4.61	0.16
Leu	8.22	8.19	8.16	8.17	8.21	8.19	0.28
Phe	4.06	4.03	4.02	4.01	4.04	4.03	0.46

Table 4. Mole % amounts for each of the four animal feed sample types. The reported values for each day are an average of fifteen data points, the overall average representing 75 independent analyses.

The quantitative reproducibility, expressed as mole %, is shown in Table 4. For all four sample types tested across the five days of analysis, the mole % is typically better than 1% RSD. The sulfur-containing amino acids were not protected during hydrolysis, and are higher.

The composition of the four feed samples is, in general, similar. There are, however, clear distinctions. For example, Aspartate is lower in the blended feeds than in the soybean samples. Valine, on the other hand, is almost identical.

These 75 determinations reflect many sources of variability, including pipetting errors, incomplete derivatization, injector error, integration error, standard and sample preparation error, sample hydrolysis, and coelution of unidentified sample components with the amino acids. Complete examination of the raw data for each of the individual injections shows that the largest contribution to the variability is pipetting. The addition of an internal standard to the sample to be hydrolyzed will improve reliability. Norvaline is the preferred internal standard for this purpose.

CONCLUSION

The measurement of the total amount of amino acids plays a key role in the efforts to assess the quality and appropriateness of animal feeds for the best growth and production. While there are

many methods available to obtain that information, the complexity of these kinds of samples can compromise the reliability of the results. In that case, samples might require reanalysis, or, in the worst case, the most economical growth conditions can be misjudged.

The summary of this study of animal feed hydrolysates demonstrates that the Waters UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution can provide accurate, reliable, and timely information. The robustness of the chromatography is shown by retention time stability of the standard across multiple columns, eluents, and days of analysis. The complexity of the samples does not distort retention time or other chromatographic features.

Quantitation is reliable and reproducible. The sensitivity of the analytical method requires only small aliquots of these complex feed samples.

These experiments show that the Waters UPLC Amino Acid Analysis Solution can be used to determine the nutritional content of animal feeds. The turnkey solution provides the derivatization chemistry, the chromatographic column and eluents, and the software for data acquisition and reduction so that the end user can be assured accurate and precise results.

Austria and European Export (Central South Eastern Europe, CIS and Middle East) 43 1 877 18 07, **Australia** 61 2 9933 1777, **Belgium** 32 2 726 1000, **Brazil** 55 11 5094 3788, **Canada** 1 800 252 4752 x2205, **China** 86 10 8586 8899, **CIS/Russia** 7 095 336 7000, **Czech Republic** 420 2 617 1 1384, **Denmark** 45 46 59 8080, **Finland** 358 9 5659 6288, **France** 33 1 30 48 72 00, **Germany** 49 6196 400600, **Hong Kong** 852 29 64 1800, **Hungary** 36 1 350 5086, **India and India Subcontinent** 91 80 2837 1900, **Ireland** 353 1 448 1500, **Italy** 02 265 0983, **Japan** 81 3 3471 7191, **Korea** 82 2 820 2700, **Mexico** 52 55 5200 1860, **The Netherlands** 31 76 508 7200, **Norway** 47 6 384 60 50, **Poland** 48 22 833 4400, **Puerto Rico** 1 787 747 8445, **Singapore** 65 6273 1221, **Spain** 34 93 600 9300, **Sweden** 46 8 555 11 500, **Switzerland** 41 56 676 7000, **Taiwan** 886 2 2543 1898, **United Kingdom** 44 208 238 6100
All other countries: Waters Corporation U.S.A. 1 508 478 2000/1 800 252 4752

Waters

THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.™

©2008 Waters Corporation. Waters, ACQUITY UPLC, UltraPerformance LC, ACQUITY, XBridge, The Science of What's Possible, are trademarks of Waters Corporation. XBridge and The Science of What's Possible are trademarks of Waters Corporation. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

September 2008 720002804EN KK-PDF

Waters Corporation
34 Maple Street
Milford, MA 01757 U.S.A.
T: 1 508 478 2000
F: 1 508 872 1990
www.waters.com

