Developing Reliable MRM Assays for Protein Quantification Based on Parallel Multiplexing LC-MS/MS Analysis

Catalin E. Doneanu, Weibin Chen, Asish B. Chakraborty, Scott J. Geromanos, Gordon Fujimoto, and John C. Gebler Biopharmaceutical Sciences, Waters Corporation, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757

INTRODUCTION

Successful and accurate MRM measurements require the selection/inclusion of at least one unique *proteotypic* peptide for each protein isoform and at least one of the most intense fragment ions generated during the CID process of this peptide, although two fragment ions are preferred in many cases. Although this information could be obtained from the protein identification process, the procedure to obtain proper MRM transitions for proteins of interest is lengthy and time consuming. Furthermore, if the fragmentation data is acquired using a data-dependent acquisition (DDA) method, the data may not contain the best MRM transition information for all the identified proteins because the selection of precursors in the DDA method at the MS/MS fragmentation step is rather random, especially in the case of analysis of very complex samples (e.g. whole cell or serum digests).

Here we describe a method using parallel multiplexing data acquisition (LC/MS^E method) to simultaneously collect the information of all the *proteotypic* peptides as well as the corresponding fragments. As a result, the probability of missing some of the *proteotypic* peptides (which could occur in a DDA experiment) and the corresponding fragment ions is eliminated. In addition, a software (MRM Extractor) was developed to automatically extract the best MRM transitions for a large number of proteins based on the MS^E data. The unique approach allows us to quickly develop reliable MRM methods without the need of theoretical predictions. The utility and effectiveness of the approach are demonstrated for the analysis of 40 serum proteins from a human serum digest, using two peptides/protein and two transitions/peptide for a total of 160 MRM transitions.

METHODS

nanoLC Conditions:

nanoLC System:	Waters nanoACQUITY [™] UPLC	Instrument:	Waters Quattro Premier [™] XE
Trap Column:	180 μm x 20 mm, Symmetry C ₁₈ , 5 μm dp	Capillary Voltage	2.9 KV
Analytical Column:	75 μm x 100 mm, BEH 130 C ₁₈ , 1.7 μm dp	Source Temperature:	90 °C
Mobile Phases: Eluent A:	Water with 0.1% formic acid (FA)	Cone Voltage:	37 V
Eluent B:	ACN with 0.1% formic acid (FA)	MS1/MS2 mass window:	1 Da (FWHH)
Flow Rate: Column Temp:	300 nL/min 45 °C	Dwell Time:	5/25 ms
Gradient: Sample Volume:	1% - 50% Β in 30 minutes 5 μL	Collision Energy Formula:	CE = 0.034 x m/z + 3.3

Samples

Top20 depleted serum digests were prepared using the ProteoPrep20 plasma immunodepletion kit purchased from Sigma. The amount of sample loaded onto the 75 µm column was equivalent to 360 ng of digested protein in each run. MRM experiments were replicated 6 times.

Data Processing Workflow



MRM chromatograms were batched integrated using the QuanLynx[™] application manager and the reports containing MRM transitions monitored, chromatographic retention times and peak areas were exported to Excel for subsequent data analysis.



TO DOWNLOAD A COPY OF THIS POSTER, VISIT WWW.WATERS.COM/POSTERS

Mass spectrometry











Figure 2. MW distribution of the peptide precursors and fragments selected for MRM.



Figure 3. Peptide elution profile during the LC gradient. The retention time axis was divided into 0.3 min bins and peptides were grouped according to their retention times. Co-eluting peptides, contained in the same 0.3 min retention time bin, were spread across the y-axis for better visualization. 16 peptides (32 MRMs) were monitored simultaneously during each of the 5 retention time windows employed for the entire run.



Figure 5. The effect of dwell time on a Hemopexin peptide



CV's below 15%.

THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.™



Figure 4. Example of retention time and peak area reproducibility for the peptide

Figure 6. Retention time and peak area reproducibility for 160 chromatographic peaks generated by the MRM assay. 70% of the transitions monitored had peak areas



Figure 7. Dynamic range of measured serum proteins. Peak areas corresponding to all 4 MRM transitions of each protein were summed.

CONCLUSIONS

- The MRM assay monitored 80 peptides from 41 serum proteins, using two peptides/protein (except for CRP and L-selectin), and two transitions/peptide, for a total of 160 MRM transitions.
- By using the MS^E data to design the MRM experiment one can achieve very high success rates (approaching 100%) for finding the best MRM transitions for each protein
- Peak area reproducibility (CV < 15% for 70 % of MRMs) indicates that the matrix interference due to ion suppression is not a significant problem when using 30 min gradients (60 min total runtime)

References

- 1. Anderson L, Hunter CL Moll Cell Proteomics 2006, 5.4, p573.
- 2. Wolf-Yadlin A, Hautaniemi S, Lauffenburger D, White FM PNAS 2007, 104p5860.
- 3. Unwin RD, Griffiths JR, Leverentz MK, Grallert A, Hagan IM, Whetton AD Moll Cell Proteomics 2005, 4.8, p1134.
- 4. Craig R, Cortens JP, Beavis RC Rapid Comm Mass Spectrom 2005, 19, p1944



720002661EN