USE OF A NOVEL EXACT MASS ISOTOPIC MATCHING ALGORITHM AND LDI FOR RAPID IDENTIFICATION OF DYES AND PIGMENTS

INTRODUCTION

The advancement of MS technologies in recent years has lead to the use of exact mass measurement becoming widespread in qualitative applications. In many cases this allows the routine confirmation of identity of known compounds and identification of unknowns by calculation of theoretical elemental composition from the exact masses measured. The number of elemental compositions depends on the mass accuracy and mass calibration of the instrument, mass calibration is typically accomplished by addition of a 'lock mass' calibrant or including an internal calibrant in the sample of interest. Here we describe a novel isotopic matching algorithm which gives greater confidence when assigning elemental composition to exact mass spectra, in this case the LDI spectra of dyes.

This proprietary isotopic matching algorithm can be applied to any exact mass data (GC-TOF, LC-TOF, Q-TOF) to give extra confidence in qualitative results.

A variety of compounds were prepared and analysed, including pthalocyanines, porphyrins, cyclopentadienes, coronene, rubrene, coumarin 6 and truxenone. These compounds are used in a variety of industries and applications, including laser and fluorescent dyes and catalysts. Some are also found in nature, for example porphyrins are pigments found in animals and plants and are involved in the formation of many important substances in the body including hemoglobin.

METHODS

The data was generated using a MALDI (Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption) Time-of-Flight (TOF) MS instrument. Exact mass measurement is usually performed using an internal calibrant, preparation of which is time consuming as the concentration is significant, and in addition in some cases the calibrant can react with the compound(s) of interest giving erroneous results. The dyes described were run using no internal calibrant, using the isotopic matching algorithm to overcome any errors in mass calibration and exact mass measurement.

Typically, when using a MALDI TOF MS instrument, a matrix is required to aid ionisation, in this case the sample was spotted directly onto a stainless steel MALDI sample plate without the addition of matrix, significantly reducing the time taken to prepare samples.

Selected samples were also analysed with the use of DIOS (Desorption-Ionization On Silicon) target plates to assess the use of these for polyaromatic samples. DIOS is performed on a plate containing porous silicon spots. The porous silicon provides the conduit for sample ablation by absorbing and transferring energy from the mass spectrometer laser to the analyte. As DIOS is a matrix free analysis procedure any background usually attributed to matrix ions are removed, thus permitting the analysis of low molecular weight and low concentration samples.

Small molecule data were acquired with the instrument operating with the low mass bias function enabled. This completely removes the matrix suppression effect in order that data below m/z 500 can be accurately recorded.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

On submitting the exact masses into any typical elemental composition calculator, it is possible to get several possible hits within the range of the mass measurement accuracy of the instrument used. A LDI exact mass spectrum of Rubrene (C42H28, CAS No. 517-51-1) -

structure in Figure 5 - was obtained and the exact mass of the most intense ion in the highest mass isotopic cluster seen submitted to the elemental composition calculator with the new isotopic matching algorithm.

Figure 1a shows the mass spectrum of the compound over the region containing the highest mass isotopic cluster and the elemental composition results. It can be seen that ranking the results by ppm difference the true ($C_{42}H_{28}$) elemental composition was ranked 10th (-1.5ppm error).

Figure 1b shows the results ranked by the calculated isotope matching 'i-FIT' parameter. It can be seen that ranking the results by 'i-FIT' the correct composition was ranked 1st.





File Edit V	al Composi iew Proce	ition ss Help																	_ # ×
		3 M E	21																
Multiple	Mass A	nalysis: 4	massi	(es) pi	roces	sed													
Toleranc	e = 50.0	PPM / D	BE: m	in = -1	.5, ma	ax = 50.0													
Selected	l filters: I	Vone																	
Monoisol	opic Mas	ss, Odd and waluated wi	Even E	lectron	i lons to with	in limite (un te	E0 heat	lootonio	mate	hac fo		h mac	a						
33003 10	mulater		ui 300.	Jiesui		in ninits jup to	30 DESI	isotopic	mate			11 111 a s	- >]						<u>-</u>
Mass Epo pres	RA 100.00	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	Formula		i-FIT	C 42	H 20	N	0	Р						<u> </u>
052.2105	100.00	532.2065	11.8	22.2	29.5	C41 H26 N		235.0	41	26	1								
		532.2277 532.2194	-9.4	-17.7	24.5	C38 H30 N O2		282.1	38 38	30	1	2	1						
		532.2151	3.2	6.0	25.0	C37 H28 N2 O2		295.0	37	28	2	2	•						
		532.2320 532.2038	-13.7	-25.7	24.0 25.0	C39 H33 P C38 H28 O3		299.1 307.7	39 38	33 28		3	1						
		532.2402	-21.9	-41.1	24.0	C39 H32 O2		323.2	39	32		2							
		532.2263 532 1939	-8.0 24.4	-15.0 45.8	25.0	C36 H28 N4 O		324.9 331.8	36 40	28 24	4	1							
		532.2068	11.5	21.6	25.0	C37 H29 N2 P		341.7	37	29	2		1						
		532.2137 532.2250	4.6 -6.7	8.6 -12.6	25.5 20.0	C35 H26 N5 O C35 H32 O5		346.4 355.9	35 35	26 32	5	1							
		532.2389	-20.6	-38.7	24.5	C37 H30 N3 O		358.1	37	30	3	1							-
16_101_6 (0.359) Cn	(Top,4, Ht); Sm	(SG, 2x	3.00); S	b (15,1)).00); Cm (6:25)													
1: TOF LD+					532.2	183													1.02e4
1																			
% -							533.	2228											
											534.2	282							
1	630.92	22	531	5333		532.3298		5	33.71	74				535.	2346	500.0			
0		531.2308	<u> </u>									53	4.6114		+	536.2	418	536.9779	,
53	0.50	531.00	531.50	53	2.00	532.50	533.00	533.5	0	534.0	00	534.	50	535.00	535.50	536.00	536.50	537.00	537.50

Figure 1b. Spectrum and elemental composition calculations for Rubrene ranked by 'i-FIT' isotope match

TO DOWNLOAD A COPY OF THIS POSTER VISIT WWW.WATERS.COM/POSTERS

A LDI exact mass spectrum of Truxenone (C₂₇H₁₂O₃, CAS No. 4430-15-3) - structure in Figure 5 - was obtained and the exact mass of the most intense ion in the highest mass isotopic cluster seen submitted to the elemental composition calculator with the new isotopic matching algorithm.

Figure 2a shows the mass spectrum of the compound over the region containing the highest mass isotopic cluster and the elemental composition results. It can be seen that ranking the results by ppm difference the true elemental composition ($C_{27}H_{12}O_3$) was ranked 6th even though the mass measurement error was only -0.3ppm (-0.2mDa).

Figure 2b shows the results ranked by the calculated isotope matching 'i-FIT' parameter. It can be seen that ranking the results by 'i-FIT' the correct composition was ranked 1st.

A LDI exact mass spectrum of copper (II) phthalocyanine $(C_{32}H_{16}N_8Cu)$, CAS No. 147-14-8) - structure in Figure 5 - was obtained and the exact mass of the most intense ion in the highest mass isotopic cluster seen submitted to the elemental composition calculator with the new isotopic matching algorithm.

Figure 3a shows the mass spectrum of the compound over the region containing the highest mass isotopic cluster and the elemental composition results. It can be seen that ranking the results by ppm difference the correct composition ($C_{32}H_{16}N_8Cu$) was ranked 6th even though the mass measurement error was only -0.3ppm (-0.2mDa).

Figure 3b shows the results ranked by the calculated isotope matching 'i-FIT' parameter. It can be seen that ranking the results by 'i-FIT' the correct composition was ranked 1st.







Figure 2b. Spectrum and elemental composition calculations for Truxenone ranked by 'i-FIT' isotope match



Figure 3a. Spectrum and elemental composition calculations for Copper (II) Phtalocyanine ranked by ppm difference

C: 0-40 H: 0-1000 N: 0-20 O: 0-20 Cu: 0-1 Mess Cak. Mass mDa PPM DEE Formula I+FIT C H N O Cu 575.0792 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 29.0 C32 HI6 N0 Cu 2.4 32 16 8 1 575.0792 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 25 C33 H20 N6 Cu 3.6 33 20 4 4 1 575.0791 -1.5 -2.6 2.5 C33 H20 N4 O4 Cu 4.5 31 20 4 4 1 575.0807 -1.5 -2.6 2.8 C39 HI8 N5 O Cu 6.3 34 18 5 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 2.4.5 C29 HI8 N7 O3 Cu 1.5.7 2.7 16 10 2 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 1.0 C30 H20 O4 Cu 2.0.1 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 1.0 C30 H20 O5 Cu 2.1.3 32 16
tass Cak. Mass InDa PPM DBE Formula I+FIT C H N O Cu 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 29.0 C32 HI6 N0 Cu 2.4 32 16 8 1 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 29.0 C32 HI6 N0 Cu 2.4 32 16 8 1 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 25.5 C33 H20 N4 O4 Cu 4.5 31 20 4 4 575.0781 1.1 1.9 24.0 C31 H20 N4 O4 Cu 4.5 31 20 4 4 575.0767 2.5 4.3 18 5 1 1 575.0767 2.5 4.3 20.0 28 20 2 1 575.0767 2.5 4.3 20.0 C38 H20 N2 O2 Cu 15.1 36 20 2 1 575.0767 2.5 4.3 10.0 020 H2 O2 Cu 15.7 275.0767 2.5 4.3 10.0 020 H4 08
575.0792 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 2.9.0 C32 HI6 N8 Cu 2.4 32 I6 8 1 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 2.9.0 C32 HI6 N8 Cu 2.4 32 I6 8 1 575.0794 -0.2 -0.3 2.9.0 C32 HI6 N8 Cu 2.4 32 I6 8 1 575.0784 -1.1 1 -1.9 2.4 C31 H20 N4 04 Cu 4.5 31 20 4 4 1 575.0807 -1.5 -2.6 2.5 C33 H18 N5 O Cu 6.3 34 18 5 1 1 575.0782 -2.5 4.3 2.45 C39 H18 N7 03 Cu 8.5 29 18 7 3 1 575.0782 -2.5 -9 -5.0 C3 H0 02 O Cu 15.1 36 02 0 2 2 2 1 575.0784 -3.8 6.6 25.0 C37 H16 N10 02 Cu 15.1 36 02 0 2 2 2 1 575.0787 -2.5 4.3 19.0 C30 H20 N6 03 Cu 17.6 3 0 20 6 3 1 575.0787 -2.5 4.3 19.0 C30 H20 N6 03 Cu 17.6 30 20 6 6 3 1 575.0879 -6.7 -15.1 2.45 C32 H18 N9 02 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0862 11.1 19.1 2.5 C32 H18 N9 02 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0862 11.1 19.1 2.5 C32 H18 N9 02 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0862 11.1 19.1 2.5 C32 H18 N9 02 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0862 11.1 19.1 2.5 C32 H18 N9 02 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0862 11.0 19.1 C33 H16 N6 0 Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1
5/5.0/94 -0.2 -0.3 23.5 C3 H22 N 05 Cu 3.6 33 222 1 5 1 575.0761 1.1 1.9 24.0 C31 H20 N4 04 Cu 4.5 31 20 4 4 1 575.0807 -1.5 -2.6 28.5 C34 H18 N5 0 Cu 6.3 34 18 5 1 1 575.0767 2.5 4.3 24.5 C39 H18 N7 03 Cu 6.3 34 18 7 3 1 575.0833 -10.1 -17.6 24.0 C30 H20 N2 02 Cu 13.1 36 20 2 2 1 575.0783 -0.1 -17.6 24.0 C30 H20 N6 03 Cu 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0893 -0.1 -17.6 24.0 C30 H20 N6 03 Cu 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0993 -0.1 -17.6 524.0 C30 H20 N6 03 Cu 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0993 -0.1 -17.6 524.0 C30 H20 N6 03 Cu 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0993 -0.1 -17.6 524 H18 N9 02 Cu 12.9 33 16 6 1 1 575.0993 -0.1 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 0 Cu 20.1 32 22 3 4 1 575.0982 -11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 0 Cu 20.1 20.3 31 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, H0; Sm (36, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6:24) TOF LO+ 575.0792 -8.
575.0761 1.1.1 1.9 2.40 C.31 Hz0 H4 OF CU 4.5 31 20 H 4 7 1 575.00607 1.1.5 -2.6 2.5 C.34 H18 N7 OS CU 6.3 34 18 5 1 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 24.5 C.29 H18 N7 OS CU 6.3 29 18 7 3 1 575.0754 3.8 6.6 25.0 C37 H16 N10 O2 CU 15.7 27 16 10 2 1 575.07593 -1.0.1 -17.6 2.0 C30 H20 N6 O3 CU 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 19.0 C30 H20 N6 O3 CU 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0757 -2.5 4.3 19.0 C30 H20 N6 O3 CU 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0769 -1.1.4 -19.8 23.5 C32 H18 N9 O2 CU 20.1 32 22 3 4 1 575.0682 11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 O CU 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, Hi); Sm (36, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (8.24) TOF LD+ 575.0792 8.
373.0007 1.3 2.0 20.3 C.31 110 10.3 0.2 0.3 1 1 575.0767 2.5 4.3 2.4 C.24 110 10.3 0.2 2 1 575.0767 2.5 4.3 2.4 1.5 2.7 16 10 2 1 575.0754 3.8 6.6 2.5 C27 H16 M10 O2 Cu 13.1 36 2.0 2 1 575.0754 3.8 6.6 2.5 C27 H16 M10 O2 17.6 30 2.0 6 3 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 10.0 C30 H20 M6 O2 Cu 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0757 2.5 4.3 10.0 Cu 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0769 -8.7 -15.1 24.5 C28 H18 18 9 2 1 575.0692 11.0 19.1 19.0 C33 16 6 1 1 <
575,0821 -2.9 -5.0 28.0 C36 H20 N2 O2 Cu 13.1 36 20 2 2 1 575,0754 3.8 6.6 25.0 C27 H16 N10 O2 Cu 15.7 27 16 10 2 1 575,0893 -10.1 -17.6 240 C30 H20 N6 O3 Cu 17.9 30 24 8 1 575,0797 -8.7 -15.1 24 5. C28 H18 N9 O2 Cu 13.3 28 18 9 2 1 575,0682 11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 O Cu 20.1 32 22 3 4 1 575,0682 11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 O Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.652) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, H0; Sm (36, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6:24) TOF LD+ 575,0792 8.
575.0754 3.8 6.6 25.0 C27 HIG MIO 02 Cu 15.7 27 16 10 2 1 575.0754 3.8 6.6 25.0 C27 HIG MIO 02 Cu 15.7 27 16 10 2 1 575.0953 -10.1 -17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0957 2.5 4.3 19.0 C30 H24 08 Cu 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0957 -8.7 -1.14 -19.8 23.5 C38 H18 N9 02 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0966 -11.4 -19.8 23.5 C38 H18 N6 0 Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, H0; Sm (36, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00; Cm (6:24) - - 575.0732 8. 07 LD+ 57.0732 57.0732 8. - - 8. -
575.0893 -10.1 -17.6 24.0 C30 H20 N6 O3 Cu 17.6 30 20 6 3 1 575.0879 -6.7 -15.1 24.5 C28 H10 N9 O2 Cu 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0879 -6.7 -15.1 24.5 C28 H10 N9 O2 Cu 10.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0870 -11.4 -15.8 23.5 C32 H22 N3 O4 Cu 20.1 32 22 3 4 1 575.0690 -11.4 -15.8 23.5 C32 H22 N3 O4 Cu 20.1 32 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, H0; Sm (SG, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6:24) 575.0792 575.0792 8.
575.0767 2.5 4.3 19.0 C30 H24 OB Cu 17.9 30 24 8 1 575.0767 7.5 15.1 2.5 C38 H8 90 C2 u 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0660 -11.4 -19.8 2.5 C33 H8 90 C2 u 20.1 32 22 3 4 1 575.0662 11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 O Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6 80.00, HI); Sm (36, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6:24) 575.0792 575.0792 8.
575.0879 -8.7 -15.1 24.5 C28 H18 M9 O2 Cu 18.3 28 18 9 2 1 575.0879 -11.4 -19.8 25.5 C32 H22 N3 O4 Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 -101 19.0 C33 H16 N6 O Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1
575.0966 -11.4 -19.8 23.5 C32 H22 N3 04 Cu 20.1 32 22 3 4 1 575.0682 11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 H16 N6 O Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, H0; Sm (S6, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6:24) TOF LD+ 575.0792 8.
575.0682 11.0 19.1 29.0 C33 Hi6 N6 O Cu 21.2 33 16 6 1 1 101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, Hb; Sm (SG, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6.24) :TOF LD+ 575.0792 8.
101 19 (0.562) Cn (Cen,6, 80.00, Hb; Sm (SG, 2x3.00); Sb (15,10.00); Cm (6:24) TOF LD+ 575.0792 8.
UL → 575.0792 • .
577.0788
677.0799
677.0799
577.0788
\$77.0788
% 677.0788
%-
« 577.0788

Figure 3b. Spectrum and elemental composition calculations for Copper (II) Phtalocyanine ranked by 'i-FIT' isotope match

As an extension of the work on dyes and pigments the ionization of some selected compounds was investigated using the matrix-free DIOS (Desorption-Ionization On Silicon) plates. DIOS is performed on a plate containing porous silicon spots, providing the conduit for sample ablation by absorbing and transferring energy from the mass spectrometer laser to the analyte. As DIOS is a matrix free analysis procedure any background usually attributed to matrix ions are removed, thus permitting the analysis of low molecular weight and low concentration samples.





Figure 4b. Figure 4a. LDI spectrum from DIOS (upper) and stainless steel (lower) target plates for $C_{74}H_{93}BrO_4$

Joanne Mather¹, Jeff Goshawk¹, Ramesh Rao¹, Ronan O'Malley¹, Dan McMillan¹, Neil Oldham² ¹Waters MS Technologies Centre, Manchester, UK: ²Oxford University, Chemistry Department, Oxford, UK

Figure 4a shows the theoretical isotope model (upper) and LDI spectrum (lower) for the polyaromatic compound $C_{74}H_{93}BrO_4$ using a conventional stainless steel MALDI target plate.

Figure 4b shows the spectrum from the compound on a DIOS target plate (upper) and on a stainless steel target plate (lower).

It can be seen clearly that the DIOS plate enables ionisation to allow molecular weight information to be obtained without the use of a matrix.

Figure 4a. Theoretical isotope model for $C_{74}H_{93}BrO_4$ and LDI spectrum from stainless steel plate

CONCLUSIONS

As MS technology has advanced and exact mass measurement has developed into a routine technique, the need for tools to aid compound identification and confirmation has increased.

As the number of possible elemental compositions is dependent on the mass accuracy and mass calibration of the instrument, the novel isotopic matching algorithm 'i-FIT' gives greater confidence when assigning elemental composition to exact mass spectra

There is currently major interest in the use of TOF technology and the application of library search routines for targeted screening for a variety of types of compounds, from pesticides to drugs of abuse. Library searching routines can often give multiple hits, particularly for structurally similar compounds. In these instances isotopic matching can be used to narrow down the candidate hits to aid identification.

This proprietary isotopic matching algorithm can be applied to any exact mass data (GC-TOF, LC-TOF, Q-TOF).



Figure 5. Structures of compounds for isotopic matching