BEYOND PROTEIN PROFILING: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGES IN ISOFORMS AND PHOSPHORYLATION DUE TO STROKE

OVERVIEW

Purpose:

To measure stroke-induced changes in brain proteomes

Methods:

LC/MS^E analysis¹ of tryptic digests Label-free quantitative proteomics Phosphopeptide enrichment with TiO₂

Results:

Observed changes in isoforms Western blots verified MS results Identified and quantified phosphopeptides

INTRODUCTION

There is more to the story of a stroke than the simple changes in relative protein concentration. Many proteins exist in multiple molecular forms under normal conditions, so observing changes in isoforms is necessary. In addition, phosphorylation is a critical modification in regulating stress response. Therefore, it is desirable to monitor the changes of this modification in response to stroke. Quantitative MS methods with low protein coverage may not generate the required level of information needed to observe these changes. In this study, we employed a label-free proteomic MS approach² for the quantitative analysis of isoform and phosphorylation changes in ischemic rat and mouse brains as compared to controls. Western blot analyses were used to validate the observations.

METHODS

Sample Preparation:

Stroke induced by occluding the middle cerebral artery Control and stroke brains pooled and homogenized Proteins solubilized with RapigestTM Reduction, alkylation, and tryptic digestion performed in-solution

Phosphopeptide Isolation

Micro elution plate packed with TiO_2

Enhancer™ used to reduce non-specific binding in the loading step Elution with 50 μ L of 0.3 N ammonium hydroxide in water

INSTRUMENTATION

Samples were analyzed using a Waters nanoACQUITY UPLC™ system and a Q-Tof API US.

LC method:

180 μm by 20 mm trapping column with 5 μm Symmetry® C₁₈ 75 μ m by 100 mm analytical column with 1.7 μ m BEH C₁₈ 60 minute gradient from 5-30% ACN at 300 nl/min

MS Method:

0.6 sec MS scan with CE at 10 eV 0.6 sec MS^E scan with CE stepped from 23-33 eV Lockmass channel sampled every 30 sec

Data Analysis

Protein Lynx Global Server (PLGS) 2.2 with Expression Informatics Peptides tracked across triplicate injections lons detected in 2 of 3 replicates chosen for databank search

RESULTS



Figure 1: A. Peptides fragmented with alternating low and elevated collision energy. B. Extracted ion chromatograms show how precursors and fragment ions aligned by retention time. C. Database search result for this peptide from α -tubulin.

TO DOWNLOAD A COPY OF THIS POSTER VISIT WWW.WATERS.COM/POSTERS

Martha D. Stapels¹, Grace M. Credo¹, Jennifer Fournier¹, Martin Gilar¹, John C. Gebler¹, Aaron White², Giuseppe Pignataro², Roger P. Simon², and An Zhou² ¹Waters Corporation, Milford, MA and ²Legacy Research, Portland, OR



Figure 2: Extracted ion chromatograms of five peptides identified and quantified from one protein from the digestion of control and stroke mouse brains. Peptides were tracked across all injections by PLGS software. This protein was significantly underexpressed after stroke.

ISOFORM CHANGES

- Splice variants and isoforms cause complexity
- Multiple peptides should be measured for each protein in a quantitative proteomic experiment

Key:

Significantly Overexpressed (Probability 0.95 or more) Significantly Underexpressed (Probability 0.05 or less)

14-3-3 Epsilon

Mass	Peptide	Ratio	Probability
816.4151	LAEQAER	1.6	1
907.524	NLLSVAYK	1.4	1
917.527	IISSIEQK	1.2	0.83
1189.662	DSTLIMQLLR	0.5	0
1194.603	EAAENSLVAYK	1.9	1
1237.647	HLIPAANTGESK	1.2	0.96
1256.596	YLAEFATGNDR	1.3	0.97
2087.966	AAFDDAIAELDTLSEESYK	2.0	1

MDDREDLVYQAKLAEQAERYDEMVESMKKVAGMDVELTVEERNLL SVAYKNVIGARRASWRIISSIEQKEENKGGEDKLKMIREYRQMVETE LKLICCDILDVLDKHLIPAANTGESKVFYYKMKGDYHRYLAEFATGN DRKEAAENSLVAYKAASDIAMTELPPTHPIRLGLALNFSVFYYEILNS PDRACRLAKAAFDDAIAELDTLSEESYKDSTLIMQLLRDNLTLWTSD MQGDGEEQNKEALQDVEDENQ

33% Coverage, 8 peptides Protein Expression ratio: 1.5 with 21% CV DSTLIMQLLR in 7 isoforms, not included in ratio

STOP (Stable Tubule Only Polypeptide) Protein

Mass	Peptide	Ratio	Probability
774.434	VKPTSDK	1.0	0.51
829.459	AVADALNR	1.0	0.58
897.510	DSVPLAPAK	0.8	0.1
1053.597	DLGPVAPASVK	1.1	0.62
1082.515	ATGPAPGPSGDR	0.9	0.17
1107.586	DPGPTAPDPLK	1.2	0.79
1108.593	GQDPVVPAPTK	1.9	0.99
1122.660	GQDPIIPALAK	1.2	0.84
1123.607	GQGPAVQEPLK	1.2	0.71
1128.604	DQGAVLLGPMK	0.9	0.47
1130.575	NEAPVASESVK	1.5	1
1138.589	DQGPMVPGLPK	0.9	0.31
1177.596	DQNASIMASLK	1.6	0.98
1192.663	AQSPLLPEPLK	1.2	0.74
1219.675	NQDPVIPVPLK	0.9	0.35
1253.625	DPEGAGGAGVPAAGK	0.8	0
1313.724	VEKPSVQSSKPK	1.1	0.68
1344.634	EEVTSTVSSSYR	1.2	0.82
1368.696	DQSFPAPAPTPLK	0.9	0.15
1812.903	AVAIETQPAQGESDAVAR	Control only	
2021.962	SGLGLGAASGSTSGSGPADSVMR	0.7	0



MAWPCITRACCIARFWNQLDKADIAVPLVFTKYSEATEHPGAPPQPPAPPQPGLAPP SRAVAIETQPAQGESDAVARATGPAPGPSGDRETAAAPGRSGLGLGAASGSTSGS **GPADSVMR**QDYRAWKVQRPEPSCRPRSEYQPSDAPFERETQYQKDFRAWPLPRR GDHPWIPKPVQIPATSQPSPPVLGMPKRRPQSQERGPIQLSADARDPEGAGGAGVP AAGKASGADQRDTRRKAGPAWMVTRTEGHEEKPLPPAQSQTQEGGPAAGKASGA DQRDTRRKAGPAWMVTRTEGHEEKPLPPAQSQTQEGGPAAGKASGADQRDTRRK AGPAWMVTRTEGHEETPL PPAQSQTQEGGPAAGKASGADQRDTRRKAGPAWMV RTEGHEETPLPPAQSQTQEGGPAAGKASGADERDTRRKAGPAWMVRRSEGHEQT TAAHAQGTGPEGGKGR**AVADALNR**QIR<u>EEVTSTVSSSYR</u>NEFRAWTDIKPVKPIKAK PQYKPPDDKMVHETSYSAQFKGEASKPTTADNKVVDRRRIRSLYSEPFKESPKVEK SVQSSKPK

KTSTSQKPLRKAKDKQVASGQAAKKKTTESPSATKPDDKEQSKEMNNK LAEAKESR<u>VKPTSDK</u>NQGPVAKEPHKDQGPVAPGLPK<u>GQGPAVQEPLKDQGPMVF</u> <u>GLPK</u>DQAPVVPGSLKGQSPTAPGPPK<u>DQGAVLLGPMKDLGPVAPASVK</u>DQDHMA SELLKNK**DSVPLAPAKAQSPLLPEPLK**NQSPVVPARAK**DQSFPAPAPTPLK**DPGPV PEPEKDGAPMVPERRKDQNASIMASLKNEAPVASESVKNQGLGGPEPAKDTGTDL KGHGSVFVAPVKSQGPVVPEPTKGQDPIIPALAKDQGPILPEPPKNQGPPVVLGPIK **QDPVIPVPLKGQDPVVPAPTKDPGPTAPDPLK**SQGPRGPQLPTVSPSPPVMIPTVP HAEYIEGSP

> 25% Coverage, 21 peptides Protein Expression ratio: 1.0 with 24% CV N-terminus ↓ and C-terminus ↑

Western Blot

Control Stroke



Figure 3: Western blot of STOP protein confirms that overall level remains constant, but molecular forms change during stroke. There are at least 5 STOP-related proteins, some that change during stroke.

MKDRTQELR**SAKDS*DDEEEVVHVDR**DHFMDEFFEQVEEIRGCIEKLSEDVEQVK KQHSAILAAPNPDEKTKQELEDLTADIKKTANKVRSKLKAIEQSIEQEEGLNRSSAD LRIRKTQHSTLSRK<u>FVEVMTEYNATQSK</u>YRDRCKDRIQRQLEITGR<u>TTTNEELEDM</u> **LESGKLAIFTDDIK**MDSQMTK**QALNEIETR**HNEIIKLETSIRELHDMFVDMAMLVES QGEMIDRIEYNVEHSVDYVERAVSDTKKAVKYQSKARRKKIMIIICCVVLGVVLASSI GGTLGL

PHOSPHOPEPTIDES

• Relative concentration of phosphopeptides were measured in the original tryptic digests

• Phosphopeptide identifications were verified by analyzing enriched samples

Syntaxin 1B

Figure 4: MS^E spectrum of a phosphopeptide from syntaxin 1B.

Mass	Peptide	Ratio	Probability
1035.574	LAIFTDDIK	1.20	0.89
1073.556	QALNEIETR	0.84	0.11
1490.758	QHSAILAAPNPDEK	0.84	0.06
1615.792	AIEQSIEQEEGLNR	0.98	0.38
1646.779	FVEVMTEYNATQSK	0.86	0.1
1696.761	TTTNEELEDMLESGK	1.02	0.56
1909.779	SAKD <u>S</u> *DDEEEVVHVDR	0.56	0

Protein Expression ratio: 1.0 with 15% CV

- 31% Coverage with 7 peptides
- Phosphopeptide Expression ratio: 0.56
- Overall protein level unchanged, but phosphorylation level may have decreased due to stroke

Synapsin-1

Mass	Peptide	Ratio	Probability
945.550	AETIRSLR	1.7	1
1048.457	QA <u>S</u> *QAGPGPR	1.1	0.6
1052.573	QASISGPAPPK	2.1	1
1326.661	TYATAEPFIDAK	2.0	1
1453.762	EMLSSTTYPVVVK	2.3	1
1496.828	QGPPQKPPGPAGPIR	2.4	1
1561.806	GSHSQTPSPGALPLGR	2.4	1
1635.869	VLLVIDEPHTDWAK	3.7	1
1724.796	TNTGSAMLEQIAMSDR	1.7	1
2000.002	VDNQHDFQDIASVVALTK	3.3	1

MNYLRRRLSDSNFMANLPNGYMTDLQRPQPPPPPSAASPGATPGSAAASAE ASTAAPVASPAAPSPGSSGGGGFFSSLSNAVKQTTAAAAATFSEQVGGGSGG AGRGGAAARVLLVIDEPHTDWAKYFKGKKIHGEIDIKVEQAEFSDLNLVAHANG GFSVDMEVLRNGVKVVRSLKPDFVLIRQHAFSMARNGDYRSLVIGLQYAGIPS VNSLHSVYNFCDKPWVFAQMVRLHKKLGTEEFPLIDQTFYPNHKEMLSSTTYP VVVKMGHAHSGMGKVKVDNQHDFQDIASVVALTKTYATAEPFIDAKYDVRVQ KIGQNYKAYMRTSVSGNWKTNTGSAMLEQIAMSDRYKLWVDTCSEIFGGLDIC AVEALHGKDGRDHIIEVVGSSMPLIGDHQDEDKQLIVELVVNKMTQALPRQRDA SPGRGSHSQTPSPGALPLGRQTSQQPAGPPAQQRPPPQGGPPQPGPGPQR QGPPLQQRPPPQGQQHLSGLGPPAGSPLPQRLPSPTAAPQQSASQATPMTQ GQGRQSRPVAGGPGAPPAARPPASPSPQRQAGPPQATRQASISGPAPPKVS GASPGGQQRQGPPQKPPGPAGPIRQAS*QAGPGPRTGPPTTQQPRPSGPGP AGRPTKPQLAQKPSQDVPPPIIAAAGGPPHPQLNKSQSLTNAFNLPEPAPPRP SLSQDEVK**AETIRSLR**KSFASLFSD

Protein Expression ratio: 2.4 with 28% CV 18% Coverage with 10 peptides Phosphopeptide Expression ratio: 1.1 Overall protein level \, except phosphopeptide

CONCLUSIONS

- This work illustrates the depth of information that can be obtained with label-free proteomics
- High sequence coverage enables measurement of changes in isoforms
- Selective phosphopeptide enrichment yields the identification and quantitation of phosphopeptides

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Drs. James Murphy and Keith Fadgen for assistance with instrumentation. This research was partially funded by the NIH and the Legacy Good Samaritan Foundation.

References

- 1. Bateman R et al, J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2002;13:792-803.
- 2. Silva JC et al, Anal Chem. 2005;77:2187-2200.