Waters

LCT PREMIER™ MASS SPECTROMETER: APCI SENSITIVITY, EXACT MASS MEASUREMENT AND DYNAMIC RANGE PERFORMANCE

Mike McCullagh and Michiko Kanai Waters Corporation

OBJECTIVE

This study evaluates the sensitivity and mass measurement of the Waters® Micromass® LCT Premier[™] Mass Spectrometer when configured with the IonSABRE[™] APCI probe. Using positive ion mode APCI, excellent mass accuracy is achieved routinely with continuum and real-time centroid data acquisition. The system used is comprised of a Waters Alliance® HT 2795 Separations Module, Symmetry® C₁₈ Column and LCT Premier Mass Spectrometer.

INTRODUCTION

Although the vast majority of LC/MS applications are performed with electrospray ionization, atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) is often chosen when compounds under analysis require extension of the polarity range (typically more nonpolar). In this study, APCI is coupled with the newly developed LCT Premier orthogonal acceleration time of flight (oa-TOF) mass spectrometer (Figure 1). This benchtop mass spectrometer incorporates new hardware and software control technology to meet the analytical demands of pharmaceutical, environmental and clinical applications.

This study uses a set of standard compounds to evaluate LCT Premier performance with APCI using both continuum and real-time exact mass centroid data acquisition. The high duty cycle of the TOF is utilized for qualitative studies, generating full spectra at high mass accuracy (<3 ppm RMS). This mass measurement accuracy provides an extra degree of information that aids in the interpretation of data.



Figure 1. LCT Premier oa-TOF Mass Spectrometer.

EXPERIMENTAL

HPLC Conditions

Technical NOT

HPLC System:	Waters Alliance HT 2795 Separations Module
Column:	Waters Symmetry C ₁₈ , 4.6 mm x 100 mm, 3.5 µm
Flow Rate:	1 mL/min
Mobile Phase:	A: H ₂ O, B: MeCN
Isocratic:	70% A: 30% B

MS Conditions

Mass Spectrometer:	Waters Micromass LCT Premier oa-TOF
Ionization Mode:	APCI Corona +ve = 10 µA
Sample Cone Voltage:	100V
Reference Mass:	Propranolol , [M+H]* = 260.1650, post-column tee-in
Acquisition Parameters:	100 - 1000 m/z
	1 spectrum/second
	0.1 second inter scan del
	5500 FWHM (V-mode)
	10000 FWHM (W-mode)

Sample Preparation

Standards were prepared in 50/50 acetonitrile/ water. The compounds used in this study are presented with the elemental formula in Table 1.

Compound	Elemental Formula	[M+H]⁺	
4-acetamidophenol	C ₈ H ₉ NO ₂	152.0711	
Sulphadimethoxine	C ₁₂ H ₁₄ N ₄ O ₄ S	311.0814	
17α	$C_{21}H_{30}O_3$	331.2773	
Hydroxyprogesterone			
Terfenadine	$C_{32}H_{41}NO_2$	472.3215	
Reserpine	C ₃₃ H ₄₀ N ₂ O ₉	609.2812	

Table 1. Standards used to determine the LCT Premier APCI mode performance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Calibration

Presented in Figure 2 is an example of a typical APCI calibration using sodium formate acquired using the LCT Premier auto-calibration procedure.



Figure 2. APCI calibration m/z 100 - 1000 with resolution > 10000 FWHM. Residuals are <0.08 mDa.

Mass Resolution

Table 1 lists the standard compounds used to test the LCT Premier APCI performance. The standards were chosen in order to illustrate the mass resolution and accuracy that can be obtained for small molecule applications. The data acquisition mass range utilized was m/z 100-1000. The standards used range from m/z 152 to m/z 609. The resolution achieved for progesterone (m/z 331) at > 5000 FWHM (V-mode) and > 10000 FWHM (W-mode) is presented in Figure 3.



Figure 3. APCI positive mode resolution for hydroxyprogesterone (V > 5000 FWHM and W > 10000 FWHM).

Sensitivity

To determine the sensitivity of the LCT Premier in positive APCI mode, consecutive 10 μ L loop injections of 17 α hydroxyprogesterone (1ng/ μ L) were made and the signal to noise determined. Over six injections, an average S/N= 452:1 was achieved, with a coefficient of variation of 3.6% as shown in Figure 4. The sensitivity in negative APCI mode was determined with consecutive 10 μ L loop injections of sulphadimethoxine (1ng/ μ L).The signal to noise determined over six injections gave an average S/N= 2992:1, with a coefficient of variation of 3.6% as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 4. S/N for 10 μL injection of 17α hydroxyprogesterone (1ng/μL) using positive mode APCI.



Figure 5. S/N for 10 μ L injection of sulphadimethoxine (1ng/ μ L) using negative mode APCI.

Mass Measurement Accuracy in Continuum Mode

Using loop injections of a mixture of five standards, six consecutive injections were performed to determine the reproducibility of the response and the exact mass measurement achieved. Initially, continuum data was acquired and centered. This is illustrated in Figure 6. The five masses of interest are labelled 1 to 5. It can be

Waters

seen in Figure 7 that excellent exact mass measurement has been obtained. The calculated masses are shown alongside the mass measured. The error varied from -2.58 ppm to 2.11 ppm, giving an RMS error of just 1.61 ppm over the mass range acquired.





		Accur	ato Mass		Select a reference	e file from the box be	el
	C	alculation	Spread	sheet	APCITEST.txt NaFNeg.txt NaFPos_txt NaFPos_ash.txt		
#	Accurate Mass	Measured Mass	Ion Intensity	Error in mDa	ppm error		
1	152.0711	152.0714	35603.56	0.32	2.11	Print	
2	311.0814	311.0810	20149.03	-0.37	-1.18		1
3	331.2273	331.2264	41310.03	-0.85	-2.58		
4	472.3215	472.3217	106943.9	0.18	0.39	Get Data	
5	609.2812	609.2816	92940.5	0.37	0.60		ŝ
						Calculate	
						Calculate	
In	strument Type:		Instrument	No.		Calculate	
Ins	strument Type: [Instrument	Na		Calculate	
In: Er	strument Type: [igineer. [Instrument	No.		Colculate	
In: En Re	strument Type: [igineer. [ssult: [The RMS error ca	Instrument	No.		Colculate	
In: Er Re	strument Type: [gineer. [ssult: [The RMS error co	Instrument	No.		Colculate	



The RMS ppm errors for six consecutive injections of each compound are shown in Table 2. The minimum RMS ranged from 1.05 ppm for m/z 152 to 3.24 ppm for m/z 331.

Injection Number	Acetamid- ophen	Sulphadi- methoxine	17 DP	Terfenadine	Reserpine
1	0.9	-3.04	-3.87	-1.68	-0.6
2	0.9	-2.55	-2.4	0.06	0.9
3	0.3	-4.41	-4.05	3.1	2.5
4	2.01	-0.02	-2.67	-1.42	-0.3
5	0.9	-1.86	-3.5	1.1	1.2
6	0.32	-1.18	-2.58	0.39	0.6
RMS	1.05	2.58	3.24	1.62	1.24

Table 2. RMS ppm errors obtained in APCI continuum mode for six consecutive loop injections of five standards.

Mass Measurement Accuracy in Centroid Mode

The system was tested further by repeating the experiment in centroid mode. Presented in Figure 8 is an example of the exact mass measurement obtained for APCI centroid mode. The five standards used are labelled 1 to 5. Standard number 3 (17α hydroxyprogesterone) was selected and entered into the elemental composition calculator to generate the most probable elemental composition and the mass measurement error. As can be seen from Figure 9, only one probable elemental composition was produced, with a mass measurement error of only 1.1 ppm.

TechnicalNOTE



Figure 8. APCI centroid mode exact mass measurement performance.



Figure 9. Elemental composition determined for 17a. hydroxyprogesterone mass 3.

It can be seen in Figure 10 that excellent exact mass measurement has been obtained for all five standards. The calculated accurate masses are shown alongside the mass measured. The error varied from 0.8 ppm to 1.87 ppm, giving an RMS error of only 1.25 ppm over the mass range acquired. The RMS ppm errors for six consecutive injections of each compound are shown in Table 3. The minimum RMS ranged from 3.7 ppm for m/z 152 to 0.9 ppm for m/z 609.



Figure 10. APCI centroid mode RMS error = 1.25 ppm obtained between 100 and 700 Da.

Centroid PPM Error

Injection Number	Acetamid- ophen	Sulphadi- methoxine	17 DP	Terfenadine	Reserpine
1	0.80	0.98	1.29	1.87	1.0
2	4.52	1.67	2.95	1.87	0.9
3	4.72	2.65	2.58	0.71	0.70
4	3.71	2.75	4.24	1.49	1.1
5	4.21	3.04	3.87	1.29	0.7
6	2.71	0.2	0.64	0.13	-1.2
RMS	3.7	2.144	2.89	2.61	0.9

Table 3. RMS ppm errors obtained in APCI centroid mode for six consecutive loop injections of five standards.

Waters

Dynamic Range

Shown in Figure 11 is an illustration of the linear response obtained for sulphadimethoxine using DRE (Dynamic Range Enhancement). Four orders of magnitude dynamic range are shown for sulphadimethoxine, where concentrations ranging from 1pg/µL to 10000pg/µL were injected on column. A correlation coefficient of 0.9997 was achieved.



Figure 11. APCI DRE linearity plot for sulphadimethoxine (1 pg/µL to 10000 pg/µL).

CONCLUSION

- Instrument calibration over a mass range of m/z 100 - 1000 has been shown, with residuals of 0.08 mDa
- For positive ion mode APCI an average S/N of 452:1 for 10 ng of 17α hydroxyprogesterone was acquired.
- For negative ion mode APCI an average S/N of 2992:1 for 10 ng of sulphadimethoxine was acquired.
- Exact mass measurement in continuum mode for a mass range of m/z 100 - 700 Da produced an RMS error of 1.61 ppm.
- Exact mass measurement in centroid mode for a mass range of m/z 100 - 700 produced an RMS error of 1.25 ppm.
- Consecutive loop injections of five standards in continuum acquisition mode gave RMS errors ranging from 1.05 ppm (m/z 152 acetamidophen) to 3.24 ppm for 17α hydroxyprogesterone (m/z 331).
- Consecutive loop injections of six standards in centroid acquisition mode gave RMS errors ranging from 0.9 ppm (m/z 609 reserpine) to 3.7 ppm for acetamidophen (m/z 152).
- Using sulphadimethoxine (concentration range 1pg/μL to 10000 pg/μL), four orders of linearity have been presented.

Sales Offices:

Waters

WATERS CORPORATION 34 Maple St. Milford, MA 01757 U.S.A. T: 508 478 2000 F: 508 872 1990 www.waters.com

For Complete & Confidence

Waters, Micromass, LCT Premier, IonSABRE, Alliance and Symmetry are trademarks of Waters Corporation. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. ©2005 Waters Corporation Produced in the U.S.A. April 2005 720001074EN MC-PDF

